

Four-class Skew-symmetric Association Schemes

Jianmin Ma^a, Kaishun Wang^{b,*}

^aOxford College of Emory University, Oxford, GA 30054, USA

^bSch. Math. Sci. & Lab. Math. Com. Sys., Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

Abstract

An association scheme is called skew-symmetric if it has no symmetric adjacency relations other than the diagonal one. In this paper, we investigate 4-class skew-symmetric association schemes. In recent work by the first author it was discovered that their character tables fall into three types. We now determine their intersection matrices. We then determine the character tables for 4-class skew-symmetric pseudocyclic association schemes, the only known examples of which are cyclotomic schemes. As a result, we answer a question raised by S.Y. Song in 1996. We characterize and classify 4-class imprimitive skew-symmetric association schemes. We also prove that none of 2-class Johnson schemes admits a 4-class skew-symmetric fission scheme. Based on three types of character tables above, a short list of feasible parameters is generated.

Keywords: association scheme, fusion scheme, fission scheme, skew-symmetric scheme, cyclotomic scheme, pseudocyclic scheme

2009 MSC: 05E30, 11T22

1. Introduction

A d -class association scheme $\mathfrak{X}$ is a pair $(X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$, where X is a finite set, and each R_i is a nonempty subset of $X \times X$ satisfying following axioms:

- (i) $R_0 = \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$ is the diagonal relation;
- (ii) $X \times X = R_0 \cup R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_d$, $R_i \cap R_j = \emptyset$ ($i \neq j$);
- (iii) for each i , $R_i^T = R_{i'}$ for some $0 \leq i' \leq d$, where $R_i^T = \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R_i\}$;
- (iv) there exists integers p_{ij}^k such that for all $(x, y) \in R_k$ and all i, j, k ,

$$p_{ij}^k = |\{z \in X \mid (x, z) \in R_i, (z, y) \in R_j\}|.$$

$\mathfrak{X}$ is also called an association scheme with d classes (a d -class association scheme, or even simply a scheme). The subsets R_i are called the adjacency relations of $\mathfrak{X}$. The integers p_{ij}^k are called the *intersection numbers* of $\mathfrak{X}$, and $k_i (= p_{ii}^0)$ is called the *valency* of R_i . Furthermore, $\mathfrak{X}$ is called *commutative* if $p_{ij}^k = p_{ji}^k$ for all i, j, k ; $\mathfrak{X}$ is called *symmetric* if $R_i^T = R_i$ for all i .

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: Jianmin.Ma@emory.edu (Jianmin Ma), wangks@bnu.edu.cn (Kaishun Wang)

In the rest of this paper, all association schemes are assumed to be commutative. By a theorem of Higman [10], association schemes with at most four classes are commutative. We refer readers to Bannai and Ito's book [1] for the general theory of association schemes.

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ be a commutative association scheme with $|X| = n$. The *adjacency matrix* A_i of R_i is the $n \times n$ matrix whose (x, y) -entry is 1 if $(x, y) \in R_i$ and 0 otherwise. By the *adjacency* or *Bose-Mesner algebra* $\mathfrak{A}$ of $\mathfrak{X}$ we mean the algebra generated by $A_0, \dots, A_d$ over the complex field. Axioms (i)-(iv) are equivalent to the following:

$$A_0 = I, \quad \sum_{i=0}^d A_i = J, \quad A_i^T = A_i, \quad A_i A_j = \sum_{k=0}^d p_{ij}^k A_k,$$

where I and J are the identity and all-one matrices of order n , respectively.

Since $\mathfrak{A}$ consists of commuting normal matrices, it has a second basis consisting of primitive idempotents $E_0 = J/n, \dots, E_d$. Let

$$E_i \circ E_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^d q_{ij}^k E_k,$$

where $\circ$ is the Hadamard (entry-wise) product. The coefficients q_{ij}^k are called the *Krein numbers* of $\mathfrak{X}$. The *Krein condition* asserts that all q_{ij}^k are nonnegative reals [1, Theorem II.3.8]. The integers $m_i = \text{rank} E_i$ are called the *multiplicities* of $\mathfrak{X}$. In particular, $m_0 = 1$ is said to be *trivial*. If $m_1 = \dots = m_d$, $\mathfrak{X}$ is called *pseudocyclic*. A pseudocyclic scheme is equivalenced, i.e., all non-diagonal relations have the same valency (see [4, p.48] and references there).

For $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, let

$$A_i = \sum_{j=0}^d p_{ji} E_j.$$

The following $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrices is called the *character table* of $\mathfrak{X}$:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & & & \\ \vdots & & p_{ji} & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq j, i \leq d.$$

Both intersection numbers p_{ij}^k and Krein numbers q_{ij}^k can be calculated from P [1, Section II.3]:

$$p_{ij}^\ell = \frac{1}{nk_\ell} \sum_{h=0}^d m_h p_{hi} p_{hj} \bar{p}_{h\ell}, \quad q_{ij}^\ell = \frac{m_i m_j}{n} \sum_{h=0}^d \frac{1}{k_h^2} p_{ih} p_{jh} \bar{p}_{\ell h}, \quad (1)$$

where $\bar{p}_{h\ell}$ is the complex conjugate of $p_{h\ell}$.

For $i = 0, 1, \dots, d$, the *i-th intersection matrix* B_i is defined to be the $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrix whose (j, k) entry is p_{ij}^k . The character table P determines matrices B_i , and vice versa.

One way to construct new association schemes is by merging or splitting relations in an existing scheme. More precisely, a partition $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_e$ of the index set $\{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ is said to be *admissible* [12] if $\Lambda_0 = \{0\}$, $\Lambda_i \neq \emptyset$ and $\Lambda_i' = \Lambda_j$ for some j ($1 \leq i, j \leq e$), where $\Lambda_i' = \{\alpha' | \alpha \in \Lambda_i\}$. Let $R_{\Lambda_i} = \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_i} R_\alpha$. If $\mathfrak{Y} = (X, \{R_{\Lambda_i}\}_{i=0}^e)$ becomes an association scheme,

it is called a *fusion* scheme of $\mathfrak{X}$, while $\mathfrak{X}$ is called a *fission* scheme of $\mathfrak{Y}$. If every admissible partition gives rise to a fusion scheme, $\mathfrak{X}$ is called *amorphous* [12].

In [2], Bannai and Song raised a question regarding the existence of 4-class amorphous association schemes with *the diagonal relation being the only symmetric adjacency relation*. We showed the nonexistence of such schemes in [17], and this implies the nonexistence of amorphous association schemes with at least 4 classes with this property. These results bring our attention to what we call *skew-symmetric* association schemes, i.e., association schemes with no symmetric adjacency relations other than the diagonal one. In [17], 4-class skew-symmetric schemes are classified by their character tables, which fall into three types. In this paper, we investigate 4-class skew-symmetric association schemes.

The balance of this paper is structured as follows. We first determine the intersection matrices of 4-class skew-symmetric association schemes (see Section 2). We then determine the character tables for 4-class skew-symmetric pseudocyclic association schemes. As a result, we answer a question raised by Song [22] about cyclotomic schemes (see Section 3). In Section 4, we classify 4-class imprimitive skew-symmetric association schemes. In Section 5, we generate a short list of feasible parameters. We conclude with some remarks in Section 6.

We conclude this section by briefly mentioning the status of association schemes with up to 4 classes. Two-class skew-symmetric association schemes are regular tournaments. Two-class symmetric association schemes (or equivalently, strong regular graphs) have been widely studied [4, 6]. There are a few papers about 3-class symmetric association schemes [18, 7]. Three-class nonsymmetric schemes have been investigated by Song [21, 22], Goldbach and Claasen [8, 9] and Jørgensen [13]. Though it is relatively easy to characterize the parameter sets of 3-class non-symmetric schemes, it is much hard to construct primitive examples [13]. Nonetheless, some families of 3-class non-symmetric schemes were constructed on Galois rings in characteristic 4 [15, 16]. In [22], Song initiated the study of 4-class skew-symmetric association schemes.

2. Character tables and Intersection matrices

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_0, R_1, R_2, R_2^T, R_1^T\})$ be a skew-symmetric association scheme. So the symmetrization $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ of $\mathfrak{X}$ is a 2-class symmetric scheme, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}} = (X, \{R_0, R_1 \cup R_1^T, R_2 \cup R_2^T\})$.

The concept of a strong regular graph is essentially the same as that of a 2-class symmetric association scheme. A regular graph (X, R) , with n vertices and valency k , is called *strongly regular* if any pair of adjacent vertices have λ common neighbors, and any two distinct non-adjacent vertices have μ common neighbors. We say this is an (n, k, λ, μ) -strongly regular graph. It is easy to verify that $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_0, R, \bar{R}\})$ is a symmetric association scheme, where (X, R) is the complement (graph) of (X, R) . The parameters n, k, λ, μ of (X, R) determine those of $\mathfrak{X}$.

The following result has been proved in [17].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_0, R_1, R_2, R_2^T, R_1^T\})$ be a skew-symmetric association scheme and let $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}} = (X, \{R_0, R_1 \cup R_1^T, R_2 \cup R_2^T\})$ be the symmetrization of $\mathfrak{X}$. Let*

$$\tilde{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k_1 & k_2 \\ 1 & r & t \\ 1 & s & u \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ m_1 \\ m_2 \end{matrix}$$

be the character table of $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$, where $1, m_1$ and m_2 are the multiplicities of the corresponding row entries (eigenvalues). The entries of $\tilde{P}$ and the multiplicities m_i can be calculated from the

parameters (n, k, λ, μ) of the strongly regular graph $(X, R_1 \cup R_1^T)$, where $k = k_1$. With a possible rearrangement of rows and columns, the character table of $\mathfrak{X}$ has the following form:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k_1/2 & k_2/2 & k_2/2 & k_1/2 \\ 1 & \rho & \tau & \bar{\tau} & \bar{\rho} \\ 1 & \sigma & \omega & \bar{\omega} & \bar{\sigma} \\ 1 & \bar{\sigma} & \bar{\omega} & \omega & \sigma \\ 1 & \bar{\rho} & \bar{\tau} & \tau & \rho \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ m_1/2 \\ m_2/2 \\ m_2/2 \\ m_1/2 \end{matrix}.$$

The entries ρ, ω, τ , and σ are one of the three cases:

- (i) $\rho = r/2$, $\sigma = (s + \sqrt{-b})/2$, $\tau = (t + \sqrt{-z})/2$, $\omega = u/2$, where $b = nk_1/m_2$, $z = nk_2/m_1$.
- (ii) $\rho = (r + \sqrt{-y})/2$, $\sigma = s/2$, $\tau = t/2$, $\omega = (u + \sqrt{-c})/2$, where $y = nk_1/m_1$, $c = nk_2/m_2$.
- (iii) $\rho = (r + \sqrt{-y})/2$, $\tau = (t + \sqrt{-z})/2$, $\sigma = (s + \sqrt{-b})/2$, $\omega = (u - \sqrt{-c})/2$, where all of b, c, y, z are positive and satisfy the following equations:

$$m_1 y + m_2 b = nk_1, \quad m_1 z + m_2 c = nk_2, \quad m_1 \sqrt{yz} - m_2 \sqrt{bc} = 0.$$

If any of y, z, b, c is taken to be a free variable, the remaining variables can be solved. Let z be the free variable. We have

$$b = \frac{m_1 z k_1}{k_2 m_2}, \quad y = \frac{k_1 (nk_2 - m_1 z)}{k_2 m_1}, \quad c = \frac{nk_2 - m_1 z}{m_2}.$$

We denote by P_I , P_{II} and P_{III} the three character tables in the above theorem, and refer them as type I, II, and III, respectively. For a skew-symmetric association scheme $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_0, R_1, R_2, R_2^T, R_1^T\})$, the intersection matrices B_1 and B_2 determine B_3 and B_4 . For typographic convenience, we display only the principal part $B_i^{(0)}$ of an intersection matrix B_i , i.e., the lower-right 4 by 4 submatrix.

Theorem 2.2. With the notation in Theorem 2.1. Suppose that the strongly regular graph $(X, R_1 \cup R_1^T)$ has parameters n, k, λ, μ . Let $k = k_1$ and $k_2 = n - k - 1$.

(i) For Theorem 2.1 (i), we have

$$B_1^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda+s}{4} & \frac{k(k-\lambda-1-u)}{4k_2} & \frac{k(k-\lambda-1-u)}{4k_2} & \frac{\lambda-3s}{4} \\ \frac{k-\lambda-1+u}{4} & \frac{k-\mu+r}{4} & \frac{k-\mu-r}{4} & \frac{k-\lambda-1-u}{4} \\ \frac{k-\lambda-1+u}{4} & \frac{k-\mu-r}{4} & \frac{k-\mu+r}{4} & \frac{k-\lambda-1-u}{4} \\ \frac{\lambda+s}{4} & \frac{k(k-\lambda-1+u)}{4k_2} & \frac{k(k-\lambda-1+u)}{4k_2} & \frac{\lambda+s}{4} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_2^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{k-\lambda-1+u}{4} & \frac{k-\mu+r}{4} & \frac{k-\mu-r}{4} & \frac{k-\lambda-1-u}{4} \\ \frac{k_2(k-\mu-r)}{4k} & \frac{(n-2k+\mu-2)+t}{4} & \frac{(n-2k+\mu-2)-3t}{4} & \frac{k_2(k-\mu-r)}{4k} \\ \frac{k_2(k-\mu+r)}{4k} & \frac{(n-2k+\mu-2)+t}{4} & \frac{(n-2k+\mu-2)+t}{4} & \frac{k_2(k-\mu+r)}{4k} \\ \frac{k-\lambda-1-u}{4} & \frac{k-\mu+r}{4} & \frac{k-\mu-r}{4} & \frac{k-\lambda-1+u}{4} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(ii) For Theorem 2.1 (ii),

$$B_1^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda+r}{4} & \frac{k(k-\lambda-1-t)}{4k_2} & \frac{k(k-\lambda-1-t)}{4k_2} & \frac{\lambda-3r}{4} \\ \frac{k-\lambda-1+t}{4} & \frac{k-\mu+s}{4} & \frac{k-\mu-s}{4} & \frac{k-\lambda-1-t}{4} \\ \frac{k-\lambda-1+t}{4} & \frac{k-\mu-s}{4} & \frac{k-\mu+s}{4} & \frac{k-\lambda-1-t}{4} \\ \frac{\lambda+r}{4} & \frac{k(k-\lambda-1+t)}{4k_2} & \frac{k(k-\lambda-1+t)}{4k_2} & \frac{\lambda+r}{4} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_2^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{k-\lambda-1+t}{4} & \frac{k-\mu+s}{4} & \frac{k-\mu-s}{4} & \frac{k-\lambda-1-t}{4} \\ \frac{k_2(k-\mu-s)}{4k} & \frac{(n-2k+\mu-2)+u}{4} & \frac{(n-2k+\mu-2)-3u}{4} & \frac{k_2(k-\mu-s)}{4k} \\ \frac{k_2(k-\mu+s)}{4k} & \frac{(n-2k+\mu-2)+u}{4} & \frac{(n-2k+\mu-2)+u}{4} & \frac{k_2(k-\mu+s)}{4k} \\ \frac{k-\lambda-1-t}{4} & \frac{k-\mu+s}{4} & \frac{k-\mu-s}{4} & \frac{k-\lambda-1+t}{4} \end{bmatrix}.$$

(iii) For Theorem 2.1 (iii), we have

$$B_1^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{nk\lambda+\Pi}{4nk} & \frac{nk_2\mu+nk+2\Phi+\Pi}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk_2\mu+nk-2\Phi+\Pi}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk\lambda-3\Pi}{4nk} \\ \frac{nk_2\mu-nk-\Pi}{4nk} & \frac{nk(n-2k+\lambda)+\Gamma}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk(n-2k+\lambda)-\Gamma+2\Phi}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk+nk_2\mu-2\Phi+\Pi}{4nk} \\ \frac{nk_2\mu-nk-\Pi}{4nk} & \frac{nk(n-2k+\lambda)-\Gamma-2\Phi}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk(n-2k+\lambda)+\Gamma}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk+nk_2\mu+\Pi+2\Phi}{4nk} \\ \frac{nk\lambda+\Pi}{4nk} & \frac{nk_2\mu-nk-\Pi}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk_2\mu-nk-\Pi}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk\lambda+\Pi}{4nk} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B_2^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{nk_2\mu-nk-\Pi}{4nk} & \frac{nk(n-2k+\lambda)+\Gamma}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk(n-2k+\lambda)-\Gamma+2\Phi}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk+nk_2\mu-2\Phi+\Pi}{4nk} \\ \frac{nk(n-2k+\lambda)-\Gamma-2\Phi}{4nk} & \frac{nk_2(n-2k+\mu)-\Gamma-3nk_2}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk_2(n-2k+\mu)+nk_2+3\Gamma}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk(n-2k+\lambda)+2\Phi-\Gamma}{4nk} \\ \frac{nk(n-2k+\lambda)+\Gamma}{4nk} & \frac{nk_2(n-2k+\mu)-\Gamma-3nk_2}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk_2(n-2k+\mu)-\Gamma-3nk_2}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk(n-2k+\lambda)+\Gamma}{4nk} \\ \frac{nk+nk_2\mu+\Pi+2\Phi}{4nk} & \frac{nk(n-2k+\lambda)+\Gamma}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk(n-2k+\lambda)-\Gamma-2\Phi}{4nk_2} & \frac{nk_2\mu-nk-\Pi}{4nk} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\Gamma = m_1 r z + m_2 s c, \quad \Phi = m_1 r \sqrt{yz} - m_2 s \sqrt{bc}, \quad \Pi = m_1 r y + m_2 b s.$$

PROOF. We now calculate the intersection number p_{11}^1 in (i) as an example.

By Eq. (1) and Theorem 2.1 (i), we can obtain the (1,1)-entry p_{11}^1 of $B_1^{(0)}$:

$$p_{11}^1 = \frac{k_1^3 + m_1 r^3 + s^3 m_2 + n s k_1}{4 n k_1}.$$

Let $\tilde{A}_0, \tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2$ and $\tilde{E}_0, \tilde{E}_1, \tilde{E}_2$ be the adjacency matrices and primitive idempotents of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ in Theorem 2.1. Then $\tilde{A}_1 = k_1 \tilde{E}_0 + r \tilde{E}_1 + s \tilde{E}_2$ and thus $\tilde{A}_1^3 = k_1^3 \tilde{E}_0 + r^3 \tilde{E}_1 + s^3 \tilde{E}_2$ under the usual matrix multiplication. Take the trace of both sides of this identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(k_1^3 \tilde{E}_0 + r^3 \tilde{E}_1 + s^3 \tilde{E}_2) &= k_1^3 + m_1 r^3 + m_2 s^3, \\ \text{trace}(\tilde{A}_1^3) &= \text{sum of all entries of } (\tilde{A}_1^2 \circ \tilde{A}_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{A}_1^2 = k_1 \tilde{A}_0 + \lambda \tilde{A}_1 + \mu \tilde{A}_2$, $\tilde{A}_1^2 \circ \tilde{A}_1 = \lambda \tilde{A}_1$. Hence, $\text{trace}(\tilde{A}_1^3) = n k_1 \lambda$ and thus $k_1^3 + m_1 r^3 + m_2 s^3 = n k_1 \lambda$. So $p_{11}^1 = (\lambda + s)/4$. The other intersection numbers can be obtained in a similar way. $\square$

Since the character tables P_I and P_{II} are completely determined by $\tilde{P}$, we can check the parameter set (n, k, λ, μ) of a strongly regular graph for possible fissions. Furthermore, that intersection numbers are nonnegative integers put some arithmetic restrictions on the parameters n, k, λ, μ . Note the intersection matrices in Theorem 2.2 (ii) can be obtained from those in (i) by switching r and s , and t and u . Therefore, we will state these restrictions for the case (i).

Corollary 2.3. *For Theorem 2.2 (i), the following hold:*

- (i) r, s and hence t, u must be integers;
- (ii) $\lambda + s \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$;
- (iii) $k(k - \lambda - 1 + u) \equiv 0 \pmod{4k_2}$;
- (iv) $k_2(k - \mu - r) \equiv 0 \pmod{4k}$;

3. Pseudocyclicity

A d -class association scheme is called *pseudocyclic* if all the nontrivial multiplicities coincide. Let $\text{GF}(q)$ be a finite field with q elements, and α be a primitive element of $\text{GF}(q)$. For a fixed divisor d of $q - 1$, define

$$(x, y) \in R_i \text{ if } x - y \in \alpha^i \langle \alpha^d \rangle, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

These relations R_i define a pseudocyclic association scheme. We denote it by $\text{Cyc}(q, d)$, called the d -class *cyclotomic scheme* over $\text{GF}(q)$. The scheme $\text{Cyc}(q, d)$ is symmetric if and only if $(q - 1)/d$ is even or q is a power of 2.

The intersection numbers of $\text{Cyc}(q, d)$ are given by cyclotomic numbers of order d (for example, see [3, Chapter 2] for cyclotomy). The intersection number p_{ij}^k is the number of nonzero elements $z \in \text{GF}(q)$ such that $(x, z) \in R_i$ and $(z, y) \in R_j$ for any $(x, y) \in R_k$, which can be reduced to the number of solutions s in $\alpha^{j-i} \langle \alpha^d \rangle$ such that $1 + s$ is in $\alpha^{k-i} \langle \alpha^d \rangle$. The latter is the cyclotomic number $(j - i, k - i)$. It is not difficult to prove the follow result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $q = p^b$ a power of prime p . Then $\text{Cyc}(q, 4)$ is a skew-symmetric scheme if and only if $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ and b is odd.*

The following result is due to Song [22, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that P is the character table of a 4-class skew-symmetric scheme whose symmetrization is $\text{Cyc}(q, 2)$ for $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. Then P has the following form:*

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & f & \bar{f} & \bar{\tau} & \bar{\tau} \\ 1 & \rho & \bar{\rho} & \tau & \bar{\tau} \\ 1 & \bar{\rho} & \rho & \bar{\tau} & \tau \\ 1 & \bar{\tau} & \tau & \rho & \bar{\rho} \\ 1 & \tau & \bar{\tau} & \bar{\rho} & \rho \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ f \\ \bar{f} \\ f \\ \bar{f} \end{matrix}, \quad \text{where } (*) \begin{cases} f = \frac{1}{4}(q - 1) \\ \rho + \bar{\rho} = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{q}) \\ \tau + \bar{\tau} = \frac{1}{2}(-1 - \sqrt{q}) \\ \rho\bar{\rho} + \tau\bar{\tau} = \frac{1}{8}(3q + 1). \end{cases}$$

Song [22] gave two solutions for the system $(*)$ and he also raised the following questions:

Question 1. Is there any other solution for the system $(*)$ that yields a feasible fission table P for given $\tilde{P}$ (from the symmetrization of P), for a large prime power $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$?

Question 2. Are there any other two-class primitive schemes (strongly regular graphs) that admit symmetrizable fission schemes besides $\text{Cyc}(q, 2)$ (Paley graph) for $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$?

In the rest of this section, we will investigate these questions. For $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the Paley graph $P(q)$ has as vertex set $\text{GF}(q)$, with two vertices being adjacent if their difference is a nonzero square in $\text{GF}(q)$. This graph gives rise to a strongly regular graph with $m_1 = m_2 = (q-1)/2$. A strongly regular graph with $m_1 = m_2$ is called a *conference graph*. Therefore, all Paley graphs are conference graphs. But the converse is not true; there are conference graphs that are not Paley graphs. A conference graph on q vertices, denoted by $C(q)$, has parameters $k = (q-1)/2$, $\lambda = (q-5)/4$ and $\mu = (q-1)/4$ (q is not required to be a prime power). When there is no danger of confusion, we also use $P(q)$ and $C(q)$ for the 2-class schemes from the Paley graph $P(q)$ and the conference graph $C(q)$, respectively. For $C(q)$, we determine the character tables of its 4-class skew-symmetric fission schemes.

Theorem 3.3. $C(q)$ has a putative skew-symmetric fission scheme with four classes if and only if $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, and there exist integers g, h such that $q = g^2 + 4h^2$ with $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

PROOF. $C(q)$ has eigenvalues $k = (q-1)/2$, $r, s = (-1 \pm \sqrt{q})/2$. We first show that $C(q)$ can not have a skew-symmetric fission scheme with character table of type I or II. We treat type II here and type I can be handled similarly. By Theorem 2.2 (ii),

$$p_{11}^1 = \frac{\lambda + r}{4} = \frac{q + 2\sqrt{q} - 7}{16}, \quad p_{11}^2 = \frac{k(k - \lambda - 1 - t)}{4k_2} = \frac{q + 2\sqrt{q} + 1}{16}.$$

Now $p_{11}^2 - p_{11}^1 = 1/2$, a contradiction.

Now suppose that $C(q)$ has a 4-class skew-symmetric fission scheme with character table of type III:

$$P_{III} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & f & \bar{f} & \bar{\tau} & \bar{\rho} \\ 1 & \rho & \tau & \bar{\tau} & \bar{\rho} \\ 1 & \bar{\tau} & \bar{\rho} & \rho & \tau \\ 1 & \tau & \rho & \bar{\rho} & \bar{\tau} \\ 1 & \bar{\rho} & \bar{\tau} & \tau & \rho \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \begin{cases} f = \frac{1}{4}(q-1) \\ \rho = \frac{1}{4}(-1 + \sqrt{q} + 2\sqrt{-(q-z)}) \\ \tau = \frac{1}{4}(-1 - \sqrt{q} + 2\sqrt{-z}). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

We can calculate the first intersection matrices B_1 of the scheme from Theorem 2.2 (iii):

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & B & D & C \\ 0 & E & E & B & D \\ 0 & E & D & E & B \\ f & A & E & E & A \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \begin{cases} 16qA = q^2 - 7q + 2\sqrt{q}(q-2z) \\ 16qB = q^2 + q + 2\sqrt{q}(q-2z) + 8\sqrt{q}\sqrt{z(q-z)} \\ 16qC = q^2 + q - 6\sqrt{q}(q-2z) \\ 16qD = q^2 + q + 2\sqrt{q}(q-2z) - 8\sqrt{q}\sqrt{z(q-z)} \\ 16qE = q^2 - 3q - 2\sqrt{q}(q-2z). \end{cases}$$

Since $A + E = (q-5)/8$, $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. So q can not be a perfect square. Otherwise, $\sqrt{q}$ is an odd integer and thus $\sqrt{q} \equiv 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}$. It follows that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, a contradiction.

Now q is a nonsquare integer. So $q - 2z = g\sqrt{q}$ for some integer g in order for A to be an integer. Since A, C, E are integers, it follows that $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. In order for B to be an integer, $\sqrt{z(q-z)} = h\sqrt{q}$ for some integer h . Substituting $z = (q - g\sqrt{q})/2$ into $\sqrt{z(q-z)} = h\sqrt{q}$, we can obtain that $q = g^2 + 4h^2$.

Conversely, if $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ and if there exist integer s, t such that $q = g^2 + 4h^2$ with $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then P_{III} is the character table of a putative scheme, where $z = (q - g\sqrt{q})/2$. $\square$

We now determine the character table of the cyclotomic scheme $\text{Cyc}(q, 4)$ for $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $q = 4f + 1$ be a prime power with f odd. So $\text{Cyc}(q, 4)$ is a 4-class skew-symmetric association scheme. Let g and h be defined by $q = g^2 + 4h^2, g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $(g, q) = 1$; these conditions determine g uniquely, and h up to a sign. Then the following hold:*

(i) $\text{Cyc}(q, 4)$ has the following character table P :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & f & f & f & f \\ 1 & \rho & \tau & \bar{\tau} & \bar{\rho} \\ 1 & \tau & \bar{\rho} & \rho & \bar{\tau} \\ 1 & \bar{\tau} & \rho & \bar{\rho} & \tau \\ 1 & \bar{\rho} & \bar{\tau} & \tau & \rho \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \begin{cases} f = \frac{1}{4}(q-1) \\ \rho = \frac{1}{4}(-1 + \sqrt{q} + \sqrt{-2q-2g\sqrt{q}}) \\ \tau = \frac{1}{4}(-1 - \sqrt{q} + \sqrt{-2q+2g\sqrt{q}}) \end{cases}.$$

(ii) $\text{Cyc}(q, 4)$ has the following intersection matrices B_1, B_2 :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & B & D & C \\ 0 & E & E & B & D \\ 0 & E & D & E & B \\ f & A & E & E & A \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E & E & B & D \\ 0 & D & A & C & B \\ f & E & A & A & E \\ 0 & B & E & D & E \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \begin{cases} 16A = q - 7 + 2g \\ 16B = q + 1 + 2g + 8h \\ 16C = q + 1 - 6g \\ 16D = q + 1 + 2g - 8h \\ 16E = q - 3 - 2g \end{cases}.$$

PROOF. Since the intersection numbers p_{ij}^k of $\text{Cyc}(q, 4)$ are given by the cyclotomic numbers $(j-i, k-i)$, which are given in [20, Proposition 11]. From the intersection matrices, we can calculate the character table P . $\square$

We note that a putative 4-class skew-symmetric fission scheme of $C(q)$ has intersection matrices of the form in Theorem 3.4 (ii), where $q = g^2 + 4h^2$ with $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. In particular, Theorem 3.3 answers Question 1, in which q is a prime power with $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. Question 2 remains open. From number theory (e.g., [11, §17.6]), $q = g^2 + 4h^2$ has a solution if and only if in the prime factorization of q , every prime factor $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ has an even exponent. In particular, if $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ is a prime, there is essentially one way to express q as a sum of two squares. So $\text{Cyc}(q, 2)$ has a unique fission table, which is realized by $\text{Cyc}(q, 4)$.

4. Imprimitivity

In this section, we investigate 4-class skew-symmetric imprimitive association schemes. An association scheme $(X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ is *imprimitive* if the union of some relations is an equivalence relation that is not R_0 or $X \times X$, and *primitive* otherwise.

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_0, R_1, R_2, R_2^T, R_1^T\})$ be a skew-symmetric association scheme. If $\mathfrak{X}$ is imprimitive, then $R_0 \cup R_1 \cup R_1^T$ or $R_0 \cup R_2 \cup R_2^T$ is an equivalence relation on X . Without loss of generality, we assume that $R_0 \cup R_1 \cup R_1^T$ is an equivalence relation. So $(X, R_1 \cup R_1^T)$ is the union of certain copies of a complete graph, gK_f , where $n = |X| = gf$. Thus, $(X, R_2 \cup R_2^T)$ is the complete multipartite graph $\overline{gK_f}$. The symmetrization $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ has the following character table:

$$\tilde{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & f-1 & f(g-1) \\ 1 & f-1 & -f \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ g-1 \\ g(f-1) \end{matrix}. \quad (3)$$

By Theorem 2.1, $\mathfrak{X}$ has one of the following character tables:

$$P_I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{f-1}{2} & \frac{f(g-1)}{2} & \frac{f(g-1)}{2} & \frac{(f-1)}{2} \\ 1 & \frac{f-1}{2} & \tau & \bar{\tau} & \frac{f-1}{2} \\ 1 & \sigma & 0 & 0 & \bar{\sigma} \\ 1 & \bar{\sigma} & 0 & 0 & \sigma \\ 1 & \frac{f-1}{2} & \bar{\tau} & \tau & \frac{f-1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \begin{cases} \sigma = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{-f}) \\ \tau = \frac{1}{2}(-f + \sqrt{-gf^2}). \end{cases}$$

$$P_{II} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{f-1}{2} & \frac{f(g-1)}{2} & \frac{f(g-1)}{2} & \frac{(f-1)}{2} \\ 1 & \rho & -\frac{f}{2} & -\frac{f}{2} & \bar{\rho} \\ 1 & -1/2 & \omega & \bar{\omega} & -1/2 \\ 1 & -1/2 & \bar{\omega} & \omega & -1/2 \\ 1 & \bar{\rho} & -\frac{f}{2} & -\frac{f}{2} & \rho \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \begin{cases} \rho = \frac{1}{2}\left(f - 1 + \sqrt{-\frac{fg(f-1)}{g-1}}\right) \\ \omega = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\frac{f^2(g-1)}{f-1}}. \end{cases}$$

$$P_{III} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{f-1}{2} & \frac{f(g-1)}{2} & \frac{f(g-1)}{2} & \frac{(f-1)}{2} \\ 1 & \rho & \tau & \bar{\tau} & \bar{\rho} \\ 1 & \sigma & \omega & \bar{\omega} & \bar{\sigma} \\ 1 & \bar{\sigma} & \bar{\omega} & \omega & \sigma \\ 1 & \bar{\rho} & \bar{\tau} & \tau & \rho \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \begin{cases} \rho = \frac{1}{2}\left(f - 1 + \sqrt{-\frac{(f^2g-z)(f-1)}{f(g-1)}}\right) \\ \sigma = \frac{1}{2}\left(-1 + \sqrt{-\frac{z}{fg}}\right) \\ \tau = \frac{1}{2}(-f + \sqrt{-z}) \\ \omega = \frac{-1}{2}\sqrt{-\frac{(f^2g-z)(g-1)}{g(f-1)}}. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 2.2 (i), the intersection matrices B_1, B_2 for P_I has the following principal parts:

$$\frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} f-3 & 0 & 0 & f+1 \\ 0 & 2(f-1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2(f-1) & 0 \\ f-3 & 0 & 0 & f-3 \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2(f-1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (g-3)f & (g+1)f & 0 \\ 2f(g-1) & (g-3)f & (g-3)f & 2f(g-1) \\ 0 & 2(f-1) & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that $f, g \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

The intersection matrix B_1 for P_{II} has negative entries and thus P_{II} is not realizable.

For P_{III} , the the parameter y can be calculate from Theorem 2.1 (iii): $y = \frac{f-1}{f(g-1)}(gf^2 - z)$. So $z < gf^2$. By Theorem 2.2 (iii), we can obtain the intersection numbers. In particular, $p_{12}^1 = \frac{z-gf^2}{2fg}$ and hence $z \geq gf^2$, a contradiction. So P_{III} is not realizable either. Thus, we have proved following result, and the “if part” was mentioned in [22, Lemma 2.3].

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ be an imprimitive 2-class association scheme with character table given in (3). $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ has a putative 4-class skew-symmetric fission scheme if and only if $f, g \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. In this case, the character table of this fission scheme is realized as P_I .*

As pointed out in [22], when $f, g \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ are prime powers, the fission scheme in Theorem 4.1 is realized as a wreath product of $\text{Cyc}(f, 2)$ and $\text{Cyc}(g, 2)$. For example, there are two 4-class skew-symmetric association schemes on 21 vertices from the wreath products $\text{Cyc}(3, 2) \text{ wr } \text{Cyc}(7, 2)$ and $\text{Cyc}(7, 2) \text{ wr } \text{Cyc}(3, 2)$.

5. Lists of small feasible parameters

In order to generate feasible parameters for 4-class skew-symmetric association schemes we shall classify them into three sets according to their symmetrizations:

1. The symmetrization is imprimitive;
2. The symmetrization is pseudocyclic thus a conference type, which is primitive.
3. The symmetrization is non-conference type and primitive.

These three sets cover all possibilities. The tables to follow list information about existence and enumeration of primitive skew-symmetric schemes with 4 classes. In the heading #, a plus sign “+” means that there is at least one such scheme; a question mark “?” that there is no such scheme known; and a number m that there are m such schemes.

Case 1 is determined by Theorem 4.1, so each feasible parameter is determined by a pair (f, g) . For distinct primes f and g with $f, g \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, there are at least two 4-class skew-symmetric imprimitive association schemes on fg vertices, realized by the wreath product.

Case 2 is determined by Theorem 3.3. In this case, $q = g^2 + 4h^2$ with $g \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and the pairs (g, h) can be easily generated (for example, the command `sum2sqr` in Maple). Each parameter set in this case is determined by the pair (g, h) . In particular, if q is a prime power with $(g, p) = 1$, then $\text{Cyc}(q, 4)$ realizes this parameter set. We list the parameter sets up to 325 vertices in Table 1.

Table 1: Feasible parameters of pseudocyclic skew-symmetric schemes

n	5	13	29	37	45	53	61	85	85	101	109	117	125
g	1	-3	5	1	-3	-7	5	9	-7	1	-3	9	-11
#	1	1	1	+	?	+	+	?	?	+	+	?	+
n	125	149	157	173	181	197	205	205	221	221	229	245	261
g	5	-7	-11	13	9	1	-3	13	5	-11	-15	-7	-15
#	?	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	?	?	+	?	?
n	269	277	293	317	325	325	325						
g	13	9	17	-11	1	17	-15						
#	+	+	+	+	?	?	?						

An association scheme in Case 3 has character table given by one of the three types in Theorem 2.1. Character tables of type I or II are uniquely determined by the underlying symmetrizations, while those of type III need an additional parameter z . Here is how we determine z . Starting with the parameter set of a strongly regular graph, we generate the intersection matrices of all skew-symmetric fission schemes with four classes. Then we determine the value of z using Theorem 2.2 (iii). Based on the table of strongly regular graphs on A. E. Brouwer’s webpage [5], a list is generated for up to 1300 vertices in Table 2. We further rule out some parameter sets with the Krein condition.

We note that the Krein condition rules out several parameter sets in Table 2. It turns out that these parameter sets are from the family of 2-class Johnson schemes. The Johnson scheme $J(v, 2)$ has as vertices all 2-subsets of a v -set and two 2-subsets in relation R_i if their intersection has size $2 - i$ ($0 \leq i \leq 2$). The relation R_1 defines a strongly regular graph, with parameters

$$n = \frac{v(v-1)}{2}, \quad k = 2(v-2), \quad \lambda = v-2, \quad \mu = 4.$$

Table 2: Feasible parameters of non-conference type skew-symmetric schemes

n	k	λ	μ	r^{m_1}	s^{m_2}	type	z	#	
57	14	1	4	2^{38}	-5^{18}	III	27	0	[5]
105	26	13	4	11^{14}	-2^{90}	III	540	0	Krein
253	42	21	4	19^{22}	-2^{230}	III	2300	0	Krein
273	102	41	36	11^{90}	-6^{182}	III	364	?	
381	114	29	36	6^{254}	-13^{126}	III	147	?	
441	110	19	30	5^{530}	-16^{110}	II		?	
441	110	19	30	5^{530}	-16^{110}	III	252	?	
465	58	29	4	27^{30}	-2^{434}	III	6076	0	Krein
497	186	55	78	4^{426}	-27^{70}	III	175	?	
729	182	55	42	20^{182}	-7^{546}	I		?	
741	74	37	4	35^{38}	-2^{702}	III	12636	0	Krein
813	290	109	100	19^{270}	-10^{542}	III	1084	?	
889	222	35	62	5^{762}	-32^{126}	II		?	
889	222	35	62	5^{762}	-32^{126}	III	252	?	
945	354	153	120	39^{118}	-6^{826}	II		?	
993	310	89	100	10^{662}	-21^{330}	III	363	?	
1065	266	103	54	53^{70}	-4^{994}	III	10224	?	
1081	90	45	4	43^{46}	-2^{1034}	III	22748	0	Krein
1225	306	89	72	26^{306}	-9^{918}	III	1575	?	
1225	510	215	210	20^{510}	-15^{714}	I		?	
1241	310	81	76	18^{510}	-13^{730}	I		?	

We ask the following:

Question 3. Does any Johnson scheme $J(v, 2)$ admit a 4-class skew-symmetric fission scheme?

We now settle this question in the rest of this section. $J(v, 2)$ has the following character table:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2(v-2) & \frac{1}{2}(v-2)(v-3) \\ 1 & v-4 & -v+3 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ v-1 \\ v(v-3)/2 \end{matrix}.$$

Since both of the nontrivial multiplicities $v-1$ and $v(v-3)/2$ are even, it is necessary that $v \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ for $J(v, 2)$ to admit a desired fission scheme. Since $\lambda + s = v-4 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, this fission scheme can not have character table of type I by Corollary 2.3 (ii). If its character table is of type II, then by Theorem 2.2 (ii), we have $\frac{\lambda-3r}{4} = \frac{5-v}{2}$ and $\frac{k-\mu+s}{4} = \frac{v-5}{2}$. So $v = 5$, a contradiction. If this fission scheme has character table of type III, we can compute its intersection numbers using Theorem 2.2 (iii). In particular, we have the following:

$$\frac{nk\lambda + \Pi}{4nk} = \frac{v(v-3)^2 - 2z}{2v(v-3)}, \quad \frac{nk(n-2k+\lambda) + \Gamma}{4nk_2} = \frac{4z}{v(v-3)^2}.$$

Since intersection numbers are nonnegative integer and $z > 0$, either $z = \frac{1}{4}v(v-3)^2$ or $z = \frac{1}{2}v(v-3)^2$. The Krein numbers can be calculated from Eq. (1). For $z = \frac{1}{4}v(v-3)^2$, $q_{11}^3 =$

$\frac{(v-1)(v-1-\sqrt{2v(v-1)})}{4(v-2)^2} < 0$, a contradiction. For $z = \frac{1}{2}v(v-3)^2$, the value c in Theorem 2.1 (iii) is $\frac{nk_2-m_1z}{m_2} = \frac{1}{2}(v-1)(6-v)$. So $v = 3$ and hence $z = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, we have proved:

Proposition 5.1. *No Johnson scheme $J(v, 2)$ admits a 4-class skew-symmetric fission scheme.*

6. Concluding Remarks

Remark 1. We do not know any association scheme with character table of type II or III. In view of Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, it is interesting to know which 4-class fission tables of $C(q)$ or $\text{Cyc}(q, 2)$ can be realized. Using the small association schemes data of Hanaki and Miyamoto [19], we find that $\text{Cyc}(q, 4)$ are the only 4-class pseudocyclic skew-symmetric schemes for $q \leq 30$.

Remark 2. The association schemes discussed in the paper are related to some combinatorial designs. Each pseudocyclic scheme give rise to a $2-(n, f, f-1)$ design and $2-(n, f+1, f+1)$ design (see [4, p. 48]). A 2-class skew-symmetric association scheme is equivalent to a Hadamard tournament and an imprimitive skew-symmetric association scheme gives rise to a multipartite tournament (see [14]). It seems interesting to pursue further study along this line.

Remark 3. Question 3 should be asked in more general form. Which 2-class association scheme admits a (putative) skew-symmetric fission scheme with 4 classes? For example, study the possibility of 4-class skew-symmetric fission scheme of known series of strongly regular graphs. We shall investigate this question in another paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Misha Muzychuk for helpful discussion and Qing Xiang for providing some references on cyclotomy. We are indebted to the anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful reports, and in particular, for Remark 3 and pointing out some mistakes in an early draft. K. Wang's research is supported by NCET-08-0052, NSF of China (10871027) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China.

References

- [1] E. Bannai, T. Ito, Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes, The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Inc., Menlo Park, CA, 1984.
- [2] E. Bannai, S.Y. Song, Character tables of fission schemes and fusion schemes, *European J. Combin.*, 14 (1993) 385–396.
- [3] B.C. Berndt, R.J. Evans, K.S. Williams, Gauss and Jacobi sums, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1998.
- [4] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen, A. Neumaier, Distance-Regular Graphs, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1989.
- [5] A.E. Brouwer, Table of parameters of strongly regular graphs, <http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/>
- [6] A.E. Brouwer, Strongly regular graphs, in: C.J. Colbourn and J.H. Dinitz (Eds.), "Handbook of Combinatorial designs", CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2007.
- [7] E.R. van Dam, Three-class association schemes, *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 10(1999) 67–107.
- [8] R.W. Goldbach, H.L. Claassen, Feasibility conditions for non-symmetric 3-class association schemes, *Discrete Math.*, 159 (1986) 111–118.
- [9] R.W. Goldbach, H. L. Claassen, The structure of imprimitive non-symmetric 3-class association schemes, *European J. Combin.*, 17 (1996) 23–67.
- [10] D.G. Higman, Coherent configurations part I: ordinary representation theory. *Geom. Dedicata*, 4 (1975) 1–32.
- [11] K.F. Ireland, M.I. Rosen, A classical introduction to modern number theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.

- [12] T. Ito, A. Munemasa, M. Yamada, Amorphous association schemes over the Galois rings of characteristic 4, *European J. Combin.*, 12 (1991) 513–526.
- [13] L. Jørgensen, Algorithmic approach to non-symmetric 3-class association schemes, in: M. Klin, et al. (eds.), “Algorithmic Algebraic Combinatorics and Gröbner Bases”, Springer, 2009, pp. 251–268.
- [14] S. Kirkland, B.L. Shader, On multipartite tournament matrices with constant team size, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 35 (1993) 49–63.
- [15] R.A. Liebler, R.A. Mena, Certain distance-regular digraphs and related rings of characteristic 4, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 47 (1985) 111–123.
- [16] J. Ma, Three-class association schemes on Galois rings in characteristic 4, *Graphs Combin.*, 23 (2007) 73–86.
- [17] J. Ma, The nonexistence of certain skew-symmetric amorphous association schemes, *European J. Combin.*, 31 (2010) 1671–1679.
- [18] R. Mathon, 3 class association schemes, In: *Proceedings of the Conference on Algebraic Aspects of Combinatorics*, Univ. Toronto, Toronto, ON, 1975, in: *Congressus Numerantium*, vol. XIII, 1975, pp. 123–155.
- [19] I. Miyamoto, A. Hanaki, Table of small association schemes, available at <http://kissme.shinshu-u.ac.jp/as>
- [20] G. Myerson, Period polynomials and gauss sums for finite fields, *Acta Arithmetica*, 39 (1981) 251–264.
- [21] S.Y. Song, Class 3 association schemes whose symmetrizations have two classes, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 70 (1995) 1–29.
- [22] S.Y. Song, Commutative association schemes whose symmetrizations have two classes, *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 5 (1996) 47–55.